

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/723,000	11/26/2003	Gina Sparacino	P06359US00	9566
22885	7590 12/16/2004		EXAMINER NGUYEN, SON T	
MCKEE, VC 801 GRAND	ORHEES & SEASE,	P.L.C.		
SUITE 3200	AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DES MOINES	s, IA 50309-2721		3643	

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/723,000	SPARACINO 4				
/\u00e4/\u00e4\u00	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Son T. Nguyen	3643				
-The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	dress			
THE REPLY FILED 02 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application and the same application and the same application are same applications.	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	ly to a ation in			
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Of timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth a later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI de date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the main	ng date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION. FR 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriation of the fee.	tion. See MPEP ropriate extension propriate extension I Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered to	pecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	ns.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a so	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S		idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	• •		• .			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied applied on is a)	proved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	•				
10. Other:		ı				

Son T. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3643 Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the argument is not persuasive and does not overcome US 5347797. See the final rejection for response to the arugment for they are similar.